



SPARA

Accounting
Insights
Advisory

WHITE PAPER

The Power of Emotional Intelligence

For Business Owners

Nine Practical Articles on Leading Yourself and Your Team

Accounting | Insights | Advisory

601 Roper Creek Dr, Greenville, SC 29615 · (864) 565-9442 · info@spara.cpa · spara.cpa

Nine Practical Articles

-
- 1 Stop Trying to Change Your Employees — Change This Instead

 - 2 The Mindset That's Quietly Capping Your Business

 - 3 The 12-Millisecond Reaction That's Sabotaging Your Best Decisions

 - 4 The Thinking Errors That Are Quietly Costing You Money

 - 5 Why Your Best Employees Aren't Telling You the Truth

 - 6 Success Can Quietly Make You a Worse Boss — Here's the Research

 - 7 The Four Skills That Separate Good Leaders From Everyone Else

 - 8 When an Employee or Customer Blows Up: A Field Guide

 - 9 Why Emotional Intelligence Is Your Best Hedge Against AI
-

1 Stop Trying to Change Your Employees — Change This Instead

Every business owner has had this thought: "If only my manager would stop micromanaging," or "If only my ops lead would finally get organized." It's a natural reaction. It's also a complete waste of energy.

Here's the uncomfortable truth: you cannot change another person. Not your business partner, not your top performer, not the vendor who's always late. You can influence them — sometimes a lot — but the only behavior you fully control is your own.

1.1 Why This Is Good News, Not Bad News

When owners stop trying to fix other people, three things happen:

- They stop pouring energy into something that has never once worked, for anyone, ever.
- That energy becomes available for the one variable they actually control: their own actions and reactions.
- Counterintuitively, the people around them often start behaving differently — because the owner is behaving differently. That's influence, and it's real.

If you've ever asked "How do I get my ops manager to stop doing X?" the honest answer is: you don't. You change what you do in response to X. You communicate differently. You stop treating the other person as a problem to be solved and start treating your own reaction as the actual lever in the system.

1.2 Nice Isn't the Same as Effective

A quick but important distinction: this isn't about being nicer to your team. Plenty of perfectly polite, smiling owners are still hard to work for — because niceness is a surface behavior, not a relationship. What actually builds trust and loyalty is being present, paying attention, treating people as individuals, and following through. That's a different skill than "being nice," and it's the one worth building.

1.3 A Practice You Can Run This Week

Pick one ongoing frustration you have with someone in your business — an employee, a partner, a vendor. For the next seven days, every time you catch yourself thinking "Why do they always..." or "If only they would...", rewrite the sentence in your head to start with "How could I..."

"Why does my shop manager always wait until the last minute to order inventory?" becomes "How could I build a buffer into our ordering process so a late order doesn't become a crisis?"

Notice how much faster your problem-solving brain engages the moment you become the subject of the sentence instead of the other person. That shift — small, repeatable, entirely within your control — is where every other leadership skill in this series starts.

2 The Mindset That's Quietly Capping Your Business

Stanford psychologist Carol Dweck spent her career studying two basic orientations people bring to their own abilities — and the abilities of everyone around them. She called them the fixed mindset and the growth mindset, and the difference shows up everywhere in a small business: in how you take feedback from a customer, how you hire, and how you respond when a new competitor shows up.

Fixed mindset treats ability as a fixed quantity — you either have a head for sales or you don't. People with this mindset see challenges as threats, avoid feedback, and feel diminished when a competitor (or an employee) succeeds.

Growth mindset treats ability as developable. Dweck's research shows this is backed by neuroplasticity — your brain's demonstrated capacity to build new connections through new experience. People with a growth mindset treat setbacks as data and feel inspired, not threatened, when someone around them does well.

FIXED	GROWTH
"I'm either good at sales or I'm not."	"I can get better at sales with the right reps."
"When I'm frustrated, I shut down."	"When I'm frustrated, I push through."
"Don't challenge my numbers."	"Challenge my numbers — that's how I improve them."
"If a competitor succeeds, it threatens us."	"If a competitor succeeds, there's something to learn there."

2.1 Why Most Owners Default to Fixed

Nobody is born this way — it's trained in. School rewards getting things right the first time, not adjusting after getting something wrong. So most people walk into running a business with the wrong wiring for it. Real business — the kind that survives a downturn, a bad quarter, or a sudden shift in the market — rewards adapting, pivoting, and continuously improving. It punishes the owner who can only execute what already worked last year. In a volatile, AI-accelerated market, a fixed mindset isn't a personality quirk. It's a liability on your balance sheet.

2.2 Watch the Language

A simple swap changes the wiring:

- "We made a mistake" → "Mistakes are how we find out what to fix."
- "This is too hard" → "This will take some learning and a few iterations."
- "We're not good at marketing" → "We're not good at marketing yet."

That one word — *yet* — is the single most useful linguistic move available to you. It converts a closed door into an open one, and it costs nothing to use.

2.3 The Trap: Saying It Without Living It

A warning, because this gets misused constantly. Putting "we embrace a growth mindset" on the wall doesn't create one. A false growth mindset is when a leader uses the language but still punishes mistakes, avoids hard feedback, and only rewards the people who never miss. A real growth-mindset business has three things: honest, specific feedback even when it's uncomfortable; recognition for good strategy and effort, not just wins; and genuine room to try something and have it not work, without it costing someone their job.

2.4 Frustration Is the Tell

If there's one emotion that does the most damage in a small business, it's frustration. It's the gateway to the bad stuff — snapping at staff, checking out mentally, gossiping, freezing on decisions you need to make. It's also the most reliable early sign that your mindset has slipped into fixed mode.

Next time you're hiring, try asking candidates what frustrates them and how they work through it. The first half tells you about their self-awareness. The second half tells you whether they have any real tools, or whether they just grit their teeth. You'll learn more from those two questions than from an hour of behavioral interview questions.

2.5 This Week's Practice

For seven days, every time you say or think "I can't..." or "We're not good at...", add the word "yet" to the end. Don't argue with yourself about whether it's true — just add the word. At the end of the week, look back. You'll be surprised how many of those sentences sounded like permanent verdicts but were really just status reports.

3 The 12-Millisecond Reaction That's Sabotaging Your Best Decisions

Your brain has two jobs, inherited from a few hundred thousand years of evolution: keep you alive, and do it as efficiently as possible. It was not built for running a business. It was built for spotting predators. Unfortunately, it's the only brain you've got, and it's running the show during every hard conversation, every angry customer email, and every blown deadline.

3.1 You Don't Actually Perceive Reality — You Predict It

Your eyes don't really "see." They convert light into electrical signals, and your brain fills in the gaps with what it expects to find. This is why a coat on a chair looks like an intruder at 3 a.m. — the pattern-matching machinery runs first, and the fact-checking runs later, if you give it time.

The same thing happens with a sharp email from a client or a one-line text from your business partner. Your brain runs a quick check against everything it remembers about that person and that relationship, and decides what the message means before you've finished reading it. If the prediction is "this is bad," you may react to the message your brain wrote, not the one that was actually sent.

3.2 What Happens in an Amygdala Hijack

Daniel Goleman coined the term "amygdala hijack" to describe the moment something sets you off and your reasoning brain goes offline before you can stop it. Here's the mechanism: a sensory signal — a tone of voice, a terse email, a customer's eye-roll — splits into two paths. The fast path hits the amygdala, which checks it against your library of threats and, if it matches, floods your body with cortisol and adrenaline in about 12 milliseconds. The slow path goes to your thinking brain, which actually weighs context — but that takes hundreds of milliseconds or longer.

By the time your cortex catches up, your amygdala has often already hijacked your behavior. That's the moment you find yourself thinking, "Why did I just say that to my employee?"

Critically, your amygdala cannot tell the difference between a real threat and a symbolic one. A blunt email from an investor and an actual predator trigger the identical physiological response. You don't have to be in real danger — your amygdala just has to think you are.

12 ms

to flood your body with
cortisol and adrenaline

20–60 min

for a hijack to fully clear your
system

6 sec

of doing nothing is often
enough to catch up

3.3 How to Stop a Hijack Before It Costs You

A hijack typically takes 20 to 60 minutes to fully clear your system. Once it's running, you cannot reason your way out of it — but you can intervene early:

- **Notice your body, not the situation.** Tight jaw, shallow breath, clenched fists. Your body shows you the hijack before your conscious mind catches up.
- **Name the emotion, even just in your head.** "I'm furious right now." Labeling an emotion activates the part of your brain you need back online.
- **Use the six-second rule.** Six seconds of doing nothing — not typing, not talking — is often enough for your thinking brain to catch up. Harder than it sounds, especially mid-negotiation.
- **Slow your breathing.** Box breathing — in for 4, hold for 4, out for 4, hold for 4 — activates the system that counteracts fight-or-flight.
- **Change your environment.** Stand up. Walk outside. New physical input forces your brain back into the present.
- **Buy time before you respond.** "Let me think about that and get back to you this afternoon." For email: write the angry reply if you must, but save it as a draft. Never send anything important inside that 20–60 minute window — that one rule alone will save you from some of your worst business decisions.

3.4 The Long-Term Fix

In-the-moment tactics matter, but the deeper fix is building your baseline capacity. Regular mindfulness practice — even ten minutes a day — strengthens the connection between your prefrontal cortex and your amygdala over time, making hijacks less frequent and less severe. Sleep, exercise, and cutting back caffeine all lower your baseline arousal, meaning it takes more to push you into hijack territory in the first place. None of it is exciting advice. All of it works, and unlike most growth tactics, it's free.

3.5 This Week's Practice

For one week, keep a simple log every time you notice your body going into a stress response. Just a line: the trigger, the body signal that showed up first, and the time of day. At the end of the week, look for patterns. Most owners find their hijacks cluster around specific people, specific kinds of messages, or specific times of day — often late afternoon, when blood sugar and willpower are both running low. That map tells you exactly where to deploy the techniques above.

4 The Thinking Errors That Are Quietly Costing You Money

You have a running commentary in your head all day. Most of the time you don't notice it — you only notice the residue: the tight chest before a board call, the bad mood you bring home after a tough negotiation, the inability to sleep after a client complaint. The first job is hearing that commentary. The second job is not believing it without evidence.

In the 1960s, psychiatrist Aaron Beck cataloged a set of recurring thinking errors — cognitive distortions — that show up in everyone, not just people in clinical treatment. They're automatic, they run below conscious awareness, and they reliably produce more stress than the situation actually warrants. Learning to spot them in yourself is one of the highest-leverage skills available to a business owner, because these distortions drive real decisions: who you fire, what deal you walk away from, what risk you won't take.

The Ones That Show Up Most Often in Business

All-or-nothing thinking. "The investor passed on our pitch. We clearly can't raise money." *Reframe:* one pitch, one investor, one outcome — not a verdict on the company.

Catastrophizing. "If we lose this account, we're done." *Reframe:* losing the account would genuinely hurt — so what are the realistic outcomes, not just the worst one, and what's the actual plan for each?

Mind reading. "My ops manager hasn't replied to my text — she must be upset with me." *Reframe:* there are a dozen explanations that have nothing to do with you. Ask, if it matters.

Overgeneralization. "Our sales calls always fall apart at the close." Watch for "always" and "never" — they're rarely literally true. *Reframe:* this call didn't close. What specifically went sideways, and what changes next time?

Personalization. "We missed the deadline because I should have caught the issue earlier." *Reframe:* multiple factors usually contribute to a miss. What was actually in your control?

Labeling. "I'm a terrible negotiator." *Reframe:* "I made a weak move in that specific negotiation." Describe the behavior, not your identity.

Mental filter. Nine pieces of good client feedback and one complaint — and the complaint is the only thing you remember. *Reframe:* write down the whole list and force yourself to look at it.

Discounting the positive. "Sure, that launch worked, but only because of lucky timing." *Reframe:* what did you actually do that contributed? You want to keep doing that.

Emotional reasoning. "I feel like a fraud running this business, so I must be one." *Reframe:* that's a feeling, not evidence. What does the actual track record say?

"Should" statements. "I should have figured this out by now." *Reframe:* swap "should" for "I'd prefer." Keeps the goal, drops the guilt.

4.1 The Five-Column Worksheet

The core technique for working through a distortion is a simple five-column exercise, done on paper or in any notes app:

- **Situation** — What actually happened? Facts only, no interpretation.
- **Automatic thought** — What did your brain immediately tell you it meant?
- **Distortion** — Which of the patterns above is this?
- **Evidence** — What's the actual evidence for and against the automatic thought?
- **Balanced thought** — A more accurate, more useful read on the same facts.

Do this on paper, not just in your head — writing forces your slower, more deliberate thinking to actually engage. Most owners find that once they've written down the situation and the automatic thought, the distortion is obvious. After two or three weeks, you start catching these in real time, without needing the worksheet at all.

4.2 Why This Beats Just "Trying to Be More Positive"

This isn't about positive thinking. The goal isn't to convince yourself everything's fine — it's to put your read on reality in proportion to what's actually true, so a single bad client call doesn't quietly drive a decision that costs you a key hire, a good deal, or a night's sleep.

4.3 This Week's Practice

Pick the three distortions from the list above that you suspect are your personal top three. Write them on a sticky note where you'll see them. For one week, every time you catch one running, just name it — "there's the catastrophizing again." You don't have to fix it yet. Naming it is most of the work.

5 Why Your Best Employees Aren't Telling You the Truth

Harvard Business School professor Amy Edmondson has spent two decades studying what she calls psychological safety — the shared belief among a team that it's safe to speak up, ask a dumb question, admit a mistake, or challenge an idea without getting humiliated or quietly punished for it. Google's own internal research (Project Aristotle) landed on the same conclusion independently: psychological safety is the strongest predictor of team effectiveness they found, more than talent, tenure, or process.

For a business owner, this matters because the alternative is expensive in a way that doesn't show up on a P&L line: problems you don't hear about until they're emergencies, employees who quietly disengage instead of flagging an issue, and good people who leave without ever telling you why.

5.1 Safety Doesn't Mean Soft

Most owners hear "psychological safety" and assume it means a low-accountability, everybody-gets-a-trophy culture. It's the opposite. Edmondson's framework crosses two dimensions — safety and standards — and the differences show up across all four combinations:

Low safety, low standards

People do the minimum and clock out. Nobody risks anything because there's no reason to.

Low safety, high standards

Results, but burnout. Nobody will risk being wrong, so innovation dries up.

High safety, low standards

A pleasant place to work and mediocre output. Everyone's nice. Nothing important gets done.

High safety, high standards

Real high performance. People take risks because it's safe to. They're held accountable because the bar is clear. Mistakes become information, not blame.

That fourth quadrant — high safety, high standards — is the only one worth building toward.

5.2 You Can Shape It, You Can't Decree It

Here's the part most leadership advice skips: as the owner, you can create conditions that make safety more likely. You can't announce it into existence. People feel safe because they have evidence — they spoke up once, nothing bad happened, it repeated. That evidence builds slowly and can be wiped out in a single bad meeting. One eye-roll from you when someone asks an "obvious" question can set the whole team back for months.

5.3 Four Moves That Actually Build It

Edmondson's research distills down to four concrete leader behaviors:

- **Frame work as a learning problem, not just an execution problem.** "We're figuring out a new market" invites questions and experimentation. "We need to hit our number" doesn't.
- **Admit your own fallibility, out loud.** "I might be wrong about this — push back if you see it differently," said early and often. Your own admission of uncertainty is the signal your team is waiting for before they'll offer theirs.
- **Ask real questions.** Especially ones where you genuinely don't know the answer. People take their cue from how the most senior person in the room treats not-knowing.
- **React well when someone takes the risk.** Especially when they bring you bad news or what feels like a dumb question. The first ten times someone speaks up, your reaction sets the pattern for the next thousand. Thank them. Get curious. Never punish the messenger, even subtly — even with a sigh.

5.4 If You're Not Yet the Whole Org

If you're managing a team but not the entire company culture, you can still shape the climate around you. Speak up first when you get the chance. Ask the obvious question. Admit when you don't understand something. People follow what they see modeled, not what's written in a handbook.

5.5 This Week's Practice

Score your team against the four leader behaviors above, on a 1–5 scale — not in the abstract, but against specific examples from the last month. Find the lowest score. That's the place where a single change would do the most good, starting this week.

6 Success Can Quietly Make You a Worse Boss — Here's the Research

There's an uncomfortable finding every business owner should know, even though almost no one in business has actually heard of it. UC Berkeley psychologist Dacher Keltner has spent over twenty years studying power, and his core finding — summarized in his book *The Power Paradox* — fits in one sentence: we usually gain power by being empathetic, generous, and socially sharp, and then power itself tends to erode those exact qualities.

In other words, the traits that got you to the point of owning a business are the same ones that growing, succeeding, and accumulating authority can quietly wear down.

Powerful people don't usually think they're better than everyone else. They just stop thinking about everyone else as much.

6.1 What the Research Actually Shows

Across multiple studies, people who feel powerful — through role, wealth, or simply being told in an experiment that they're in charge — show measurable changes:

- A reduced ability to read other people's emotions accurately
- Less listening, more interrupting
- Greater impulsivity, less risk aversion
- Reduced "mirroring" — the automatic, subtle mimicry that lets us feel what others are feeling
- More rudeness, more unilateral decisions
- In one striking real-world data point: wealthier drivers are roughly half as likely to yield to pedestrians at crosswalks

Keltner is clear that powerful people don't usually think they're better than everyone else. They just stop thinking about everyone else as much. The cognitive bandwidth that used to go toward reading the room gets reallocated somewhere else.

6.2 The Mirroring Effect Is Measurable in the Brain

Neuroscientist Sukhvinder Obhi ran a study where he observed brain activity in both powerful and less-powerful people while they watched others perform actions. The part of the brain that would normally light up sympathetically — the part behind empathy — was measurably dimmer in the powerful group. That dimming is part of how empathy quietly erodes as authority grows, even without anyone consciously deciding to care less.

6.3 You Don't Need a Corner Office for This to Apply

This isn't just about CEOs. It shows up anywhere someone holds a structural advantage: the owner relative to staff, the senior partner relative to a junior one, the person at the front of a meeting relative to everyone else in it. If you've ever looked back and wondered why you handled something worse than you normally would, this effect — quietly running in the background — is often the answer. Not because you're a bad person, but because the situation handed you a power advantage you didn't consciously register.

6.4 Five Countermoves

Keltner's research, along with related work, points to concrete defenses:

- **Listen more than you talk** in any room where you're the senior person. If you're talking more than half the time in a one-on-one, the math is already off.
- **Ask questions you don't know the answer to.** Real ones, not rhetorical ones. People stop volunteering real information the moment they suspect you only ask questions to test them.
- **Build explicit downward feedback channels.** Skip-level check-ins, anonymous surveys, a trusted peer who'll tell you what people are actually saying. People rarely volunteer hard truths to the person who signs their paycheck. You have to build the structure for it.
- **Run a periodic mental audit.** Before any decision affecting people who report to you, ask: am I thinking about how this lands for them, or only about how it affects me and the outcome? Most bad leadership calls trace back to someone who never paused to ask that.
- **Catch the small stuff.** Interrupting in meetings. Cutting off junior staff. Skipping a hello. Checking email during a one-on-one. None of these are catastrophic alone — but stacked up over years, they quietly tell your team that you don't see them.

6.5 A Question Worth Asking Yourself Honestly

It's worth being honest about a version of the thought: "They're lucky to have these jobs — I took the risk, I built this." That kind of thinking is the corruption of power showing up in real time. It manufactures value out of your position instead of recognizing the actual contribution of the people doing the work. Most owners have thought some version of it. What separates good leaders from the rest isn't never having the thought — it's catching it when it shows up.

6.6 This Week's Practice

List every setting in your week where you hold a power advantage — the obvious ones (managing your team) and the less obvious ones (you're the client to a vendor, the senior voice on a committee, the parent at home). For each, ask honestly: how would the lower-power person in that setting describe my behavior? Not how you'd describe it — how they would. If you have a trusted peer, hand them your list and watch their face. It will tell you a lot.

7 The Four Skills That Separate Good Leaders From Everyone Else

Psychologist Daniel Goleman organized emotional intelligence into four domains, building on earlier research by John Mayer and Peter Salovey. The framework has been the dominant model for workplace EI ever since, and for a business owner, it doubles as a practical development map — because the four domains build on each other in a fixed order.

Two domains are about you: self-awareness and self-management. Two are about other people: social awareness and relationship management. You cannot manage what you cannot see, and you cannot read other people well until you can read yourself. So self-awareness enables self-management; both together enable social awareness; all three together enable relationship management. Owners who skip ahead — trying to influence their team while still oblivious to their own emotional state — tend to do real damage. You've probably worked with one. The leaders who actually move people are the ones who started with themselves.

7.1 Why This Beats Raw Smarts

Technical know-how and IQ get you in the door — they earn the credential, the first sale, the first hire. After that, their predictive power for further success drops sharply. Research summarized by Goleman has put emotional intelligence at roughly two-thirds of what separates high performers in leadership roles, and high-EQ candidates reportedly beat high-IQ ones for promotion about 75% of the time.

Here's the asymmetry worth sitting with: your technical expertise is a depreciating asset. The specific skills that made you valuable five years ago may not be valuable now. Your emotional intelligence is an appreciating asset — it works in every role, every industry, every stage of your business, and it does not go obsolete.

7.2 Domain 1: Self-Awareness

The foundation, and the hardest to build. It breaks into three pieces: accurately naming what you're feeling in the moment (not just "stressed" or "fine" — anxious, resentful, excited, disappointed are all different and require different responses); accurate self-assessment of your real strengths and limits; and genuine self-confidence, which is quieter than bravado and doesn't depend on your last quarter's numbers.

One useful tool that doesn't rely on introspection alone: a five-minute end-of-day retrospective. What went well, what didn't, where did I notice myself getting thrown off, what do I want to carry into tomorrow. Written down, not just thought. The act of writing forces specificity that your head alone won't give you.

7.3 Domain 2: Self-Management

Once you can see yourself clearly, you can manage what you see. This includes self-control under pressure, trustworthiness (built in small repeated moments, destroyed fast), honest accountability without perfectionism, adaptability, and initiative — acting on what needs doing without being told.

Most self-management failures happen at predictable moments — the same words, the same people, trip the same reaction every time. Mapping those "hot buttons" — what triggers you and why it lands so hard — turns a surprise into something you can plan for. You can't eliminate hot buttons. You can stop being surprised by them.

7.4 Domain 3: Social Awareness

This is the ability to read what other people are feeling and understand the dynamics of your team or your market — built on empathy, organizational awareness, and service orientation. Empathy specifically comes in three flavors: cognitive (understanding how someone thinks — useful for selling and negotiating), emotional (actually feeling some of what they feel — builds trust but risks burnout if unmanaged), and compassionate (understanding, feeling, and being moved to act — the most complete and the most useful in leadership).

Organizational awareness means noticing the real structure of your business, not just the org chart: who actually gets deferred to, which meetings happen before the official meeting, which alliances shape what gets approved. Most owners underrate how much information is sitting in plain sight, simply unobserved.

7.5 Domain 4: Relationship Management

This is where the other three come together — and it's the visible output of all the inner work. A few moves matter most in practice:

- **Adapt to the person, not to yourself.** Different people need different things to be reached — answer-first vs. reasoning-first, data vs. story, public vs. private feedback. Treating everyone the same way is efficient and ineffective.
- **Actually listen.** Most people wait for their turn to talk instead of listening. Minimizing distractions, resisting the urge to plan your response while someone's talking, and paraphrasing back what you heard are simple, underused tools.
- **Influence without authority.** As your business grows past what you can personally control, more gets done through people you don't manage. Credibility built over time, understanding their goals rather than yours, and making it easy to say yes all matter more than position ever did.
- **Manage conflict instead of avoiding it.** Conflict isn't what damages a team — avoidance is. Separate the person from the problem, focus on interests rather than stated positions, and acknowledge the feeling before you debate the facts.

7.6 This Week's Practice

Score yourself 1–10 in each of the four domains. Then score yourself the way you think your closest colleague or co-founder would score you. The gap between those two numbers tells you exactly where to start.

8 When an Employee or Customer Blows Up: A Field Guide

Eventually, no matter how well you run things, you'll find yourself in front of someone mid-meltdown. A direct report in tears. An employee shouting. A customer escalating fast. Your business partner. The choices you make in that moment aren't obvious, and most owners default to whatever feels instinctive — which is often the wrong move.

There are four broad responses, and a well-run business uses all of them, in different situations. The skill is knowing which one fits the moment.

8.1 Avoidance

Sometimes the right move is to step back — not forever, just right now. If someone is mid-hijack (an amygdala flood, in the language of an earlier post in this series), no real conversation is going to happen. Their thinking brain is offline. Anything you say lands as further provocation, no matter how reasonable it is.

Use it when: the other person is too activated to think clearly, the intensity is escalating, and no decision actually has to be made right now.

How to do it well: "I can see this is intense. Let's pick this back up in 30 minutes / tomorrow morning." Said with warmth, not coldness — you're stepping away from this moment, not from the person.

Don't use it when: you're avoiding a conflict that genuinely needs to happen, hoping it resolves on its own. It won't.

8.2 Smoothing Over

Sometimes you can soften a moment without fully resolving the substance underneath it. "I think we both got more heated than we needed to — let's reset."

Use it when: the stakes are genuinely low, preserving the relationship matters more than winning the point, or the other person needs a face-saving way to step back from a position they overcommitted to.

Don't use it when: there's a real, recurring issue underneath. Smoothing over a substantive problem repeatedly is exactly how a team builds up the kind of unaddressed tension that eventually blows up much bigger.

8.3 Confrontation

Sometimes the behavior has to be addressed directly. A pattern of outbursts from a direct report is damaging the team. A vendor's repeated excuses are costing you money. Smoothing it over has stopped serving anyone.

Done well, confrontation is calm, specific, and aimed at behavior — never character. "In the meeting just now, you cut me off three times. I want to talk about that" lands. "You're always so dismissive" doesn't.

Use it when: the pattern is repeating and the cost of avoiding it now exceeds the cost of addressing it.

Don't use it: in the heat of the outburst itself. Wait for the chemistry to clear, then confront.

8.4 Collaboration

The most productive response, when both sides can manage it: work the actual problem together. "We clearly disagree here. Let's figure out what we both actually need and see if there's a third option."

This is the slow path, and it's almost always the right one when conditions allow it: name what you're doing, genuinely try to understand their view first, then state yours without blame, then look for overlap.

8.6 When You're the One Being Confronted

The same playbook applies in reverse. Slow your breathing, silently name what you're feeling, and buy yourself time: "Give me a moment to think about what you've said." Most conflicts get worse when both people spiral together. They tend to de-escalate the moment one person stops.

8.5 The Soothing Skill (Useful in All Four Modes)

Helping someone calm down is its own high-leverage skill, regardless of which mode you choose:

- Slow your own breathing first — their nervous system will partly sync with yours.
- Drop the volume of your voice. Not a whisper, just below normal. People lean in and lower their own volume to match.
- Acknowledge the feeling without endorsing the behavior. "I can see why you're upset" isn't the same as "I agree that yelling was the right call."
- Use their name. A small, quiet reminder of personhood.
- Give them something to do — "let's walk to the conference room," "let me get you some water." Physical action helps discharge stress chemistry.

None of these rely on logic or content, and that's the point. Logic doesn't land on a flooded brain. Calm the state first; deal with the substance later.

8.7 This Week's Practice

Think back to the last time someone had an outburst at you or in front of you. Walk it through the four modes above. Which one did you actually use? Was it the right call? If you could replay the scene, what would you do differently? Write it down — the next time it happens, and it will, you'll have a plan instead of an improvisation.

9 Why Emotional Intelligence Is Your Best Hedge Against AI

Any conversation about running a business in 2026 eventually runs into the same question: if AI can now draft the documents, write the code, summarize the meeting, analyze the data, and design the deck — work that used to take a specialist hours and now takes anyone with a subscription minutes — what's actually left for the people on your team to do?

The fear-based answer is "less and less." The more useful answer comes from looking honestly at what AI is genuinely good at, what it isn't, and which of your team's skills become more valuable, not less, as a result.

9.1 What the Machines Already Do Well

Generative AI is genuinely strong at repetitive tasks at scale, analyzing structured data, recognizing patterns across huge bodies of text, producing fast first drafts in almost any format, and consistent execution around the clock without fatigue or distraction. If your business has people whose entire value is doing one of these things faster than a tool now can, that's a real and immediate problem worth solving — but it's not the topic of this post.

9.2 Where It Still Falls Short

What current AI does not do well: instinctive judgment in a genuinely new situation, real emotional perception, context-sensitive ethical calls, versatility under ambiguity, and sensing what's actually true beneath what someone is saying out loud.

Look closely at that list and you'll notice something: it's nearly identical to the four dimensions of emotional intelligence — self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management. Reading the room. Defusing the conflict a model can't mediate. Earning the trust a customer or partner eventually has to place in a real person before they'll close a deal.

Far from making EI less valuable, AI raises its market price. As the baseline cognitive work gets automated, what's left as the actual differentiator is the human stack.

HUMANS	MACHINES
Instinctive judgment	Repetitive actions at scale
Creativity from messy, ambiguous context	Analyzing structured data
Genuine emotional perception	Consistent performance
Context-sensitive decisions	Tireless, around-the-clock operation
Versatility under ambiguity	Scalability

9.3 The Skill Stack That Survives — and Grows

A few capabilities are becoming more valuable, not less, in an AI-saturated market:

- **Problem framing.** Knowing what to ask is now worth more than knowing how to execute. People frame the problem; machines increasingly execute it.
- **Judgment under ambiguity.** Deciding what to do when the data is incomplete, the stakeholders disagree, and there's no clean right answer. No tool is solving this for you anytime soon.
- **Trust and influence.** Closing the loop with real customers, partners, and employees who will only do business with someone they actually trust.
- **Coaching and developing people.** Reading where someone is, what they need, what they're actually ready to hear — fundamentally human, and not something a tool can substitute for.

- **Synthesis across messy domains.** Connecting insights no model has connected before — tying a customer complaint to a process fix, tying a hiring decision to a culture problem.
- **Continuous learning.** The shelf life of any specific technical skill keeps shrinking. The person — and the business — that keeps learning will outpace the one with the deepest current expertise within a few years.

9.4 The Practical Takeaway for Your Business

If your business has historically been built on a depreciating expertise — a specific tool, process, or workflow that AI can now do faster and cheaper — the appreciating asset worth investing in, for yourself and your team, is emotional intelligence. The work that doesn't commoditize is the work that requires reading people, building trust, navigating conflict, and exercising judgment that can't be reduced to a prompt.

It's also, not coincidentally, the most satisfying work to do — and the kind of work that makes a business worth running, not just worth automating.

Your technical skill depreciates as the market moves. The skill that doesn't commoditize is reading people, building trust, and managing yourself.



SPARA

Accounting
Insights
Advisory

Helping your business by providing actionable insights.

Spara is a full-service CPA firm in Greenville, South Carolina, offering bookkeeping, payroll, fractional controller and CFO services, and advisory. We are a valued partner through accounting, insights, and advisory.

ACCOUNTING | INSIGHTS | ADVISORY

601 Roper Creek Dr, Greenville, SC 29615

(864) 565-9442 · info@spara.cpa · spara.cpa